

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,158	02/27/2002	Paul Beck	272/012	2670
34261 HOLLAND &	7590 02/20/200 KNIGHT LLP	EXAMINER		
633 WEST FIF	TH STREET, TWENT	HSIAO, JAMES K		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3657	•	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/086,158	BECK, PAUL			
Examiner	Art Unit			
JAMES K. HSIAO	3657			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

eamed	patent term	adjustment.	See 37	CFR.	1./U4(b).

Period for Reply	and devel eneet with the derivespendence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (FR 11396g). In after 5X (6) MONTH'S from the making date of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or eventuely control of the communication. The set of the communication of the	THIS COMMUNICATION. I event, however, may a reply be timely filed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>7/30/2008</u> . 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is 3□ Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte.	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ccepted or Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have to Certified copies of the priority documents have to complete the priority documents have to the priority documents have the priority documents hav	peen received. been received in Application No. Imments have been received in this National Stage Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/2002.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/086,158 Page 2

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each independent claim recites the limitation "an inelastic reinforcing ribbon of a flexible tear-resistant material," it is unclear because it is not understood how a material can be flexible and inelastic. It is assumed that if a material is flexible, then it has some degree of elasticity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 18-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marty et al. (US-2985222).

Regarding claims 18-21, Marty discloses an outer length of flexible tear-resistant material (fig 1) having mating extended ends (figs –9) so as to form a closed loop and defining an endless channel (6) extending longitudinally therethrough (fig 4), an inelastic reinforcing enforcing ribbon (8) of a flexible tear-resistant material (col. 3, lines 24-28) disposed within said channel (figure 2), said ribbon defining first and second ends and

Application/Control Number: 10/086,158

Art Unit: 3657

extending across said mating ends of said outer length of material and twice about said loop defined by said outer length of material so as to define two layers of reinforcing ribbon within said outer length of material (col. 4, lines 9-21), said first end of said ribbon being disposed adjacent to said second end thereof, said adhesive being disposed about said layers of ribbon (col. 3, lines14-25) and securing together said layers of ribbon and securing said ribbon to said outer length of material to maintain said outer length of material in said closed loop (col. 3, lines14-25). And regarding claim 20, Marty discloses a slit extending the length thereof from said oute3r surface to said channel. Examiner interprets the slit in such a way that the channel (6) of Marty is also a slit, namely the space above (3) in figure 2 and below the top surface of (4').

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be needlived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1- 17 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marty et al. (US-2985222) in view of Takashima (US-4655732).

Regarding claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 13, 17, 22, 23, 24, Marty et al. discloses an outer length of flexible tear-resistant material (fig 1) having mating extended ends (figs –9) so as to form a closed loop and defining an endless channel (6) extending longitudinally therethrough (fig 4), an inelastic reinforcing enforcing ribbon (8) of a flexible tear-

Application/Control Number: 10/086,158

Art Unit: 3657

resistant material (col. 3, lines 24-28) disposed within said channel (figure 2), said ribbon defining first and second ends and extending across said mating ends of said outer length of material and twice about said loop defined by said outer length of material so as to define two layers of reinforcing ribbon within said outer length of material (col. 4, lines 9-21), said first end of said ribbon being disposed adjacent to said second end thereof, said adhesive being disposed about said layers of ribbon (col. 3, lines14-25) and securing together said layers of ribbon and securing said ribbon to said outer length of material to maintain said outer length of material in said closed loop (col. 3, lines14-25). And regarding claim 13, Marty discloses a slit extending the length thereof from said oute3r surface to said channel. Examiner interprets the slit in such a way that the channel (6) of Marty is also a slit, namely the space above (3) in figure 2 and below the top surface of (4').

Marty et al. lacks wherein the adhesive is injected. Even though product-byprocess claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability
is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its
method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or
obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior
product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ
964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Takashima teaches wherein an adhesive is injected into the belt to keep a reinforcing member adhered to a belt (col. 8, lines 60-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/086,158

Art Unit: 3657

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to inject the adhesive in the channel to adhere the belt to the reinforcing ribbon because when injecting, a boundary surface between the resin and the belt can be made rugged to increase the adhesive strength (col. 8, lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 2, Marty discloses wherein the ribbon is of a braided construction and cooperates with its adhesive (col. 3, lines 20-23).

Regarding claims 3, 7, 12, 15 and 16, Marty discloses wherein the ribbon can be made from two different materials (col. 3, line 26).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, it is merely a design choice as to what strength of adhesive is selected and would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to select an adhesive of necessary strength.

Regarding claim 10, marty et al. discloses wherein the mating ends of said outer length of flexible tear-resistant material define a first location (5) on said closed loop and said first end portion of said reinforcing ribbon overlaps (col. 4, lines 9-21) said second end portion thereof along a second location on said closed loop, said first location being substantially diametrically opposed across said loop from said second location (col. 4, lines 9-21).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beck, Schanin, and Akita were used during examination but were not relied upon for rejection. Art Unit: 3657

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES K. HSIAO whose telephone number is (571)272-6259. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JKH

/Robert A. Siconolfi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657